| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | 10/761,648 | CURTIS, DAVID C. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | David L. Sorkin | 1723 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>David L. Sorkin</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Robert L. Marsh. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 19 December 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: no previous rejections were discussed, potential future rejections were discussed | | |
| Claims discussed: 2-4, 6-10 and 13-17 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Lennox (US 5,104,232) | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Examiner Sorkin offerred to allow the application if independent of claim 13 were canceled. Mr. Marsh declined this offer, on behalf | laim 14, and claims dependent th | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | | |
| 4 / , | , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Jal lah | 'Applicant's Representative Si | anatura – if angransiata) |
| (ADDICANT | Applicants Representative 51 | עוומנעוע – וו מטטוטטוומנען |